

Hidden Symmetry of the Yang–Coulomb System

L.G. Mardoyan¹, A.N. Sissakian², V.M. Ter–Antonyan³

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

141980, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

Abstract

The bound system composed of the Yang monopole coupled to a particle of the isospin by the $SU(2)$ and Coulomb interaction is considered. The generalized Runge–Lenz vector and the $SO(6)$ group of hidden symmetry are established. It is also shown that the group of hidden symmetry make it possible to calculate the spectrum of the system by a pure algebraic method.

1 Introduction

It is well known that gauge theory underlies modern particle physics and gravity. One of the most interesting features of local gauge theories, first noted by Dirac [1], is the natural occurrence in them of monopoles as topological obstructions. As originally proposed by Yang [2], the Dirac monopole can be generalized to the $SU(2)$ gauge group and such a generalization (Yang monopole) can be achieved only in the five-dimensional Euclidean space.

The simplest bound system connected to the Yang monopole is the Yang-Coulomb System (YCS) which we define here as the system composed of the Yang monopole and a particle of the isospin coupled to the monopole by the $SU(2)$ and the Coulomb interaction.

It is obviously of interest to ask what happens to the known Coulomb $SO(6)$ hidden symmetry after $SU(2)$ generalization. In this note, we prove that $SU(2)$ generalization does not break the $SO(6)$ Coulomb hidden symmetry but leads to $SO(6)$ acting in a more general $\mathbb{R}^5 \otimes S^3$ space. We use this new symmetry for computation of the YCS energy spectrum by a pure algebraic method.

2 Notation and τ matrices

We keep the following notation: $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $a = 1, 2, 3$; x_j are the Cartesian coordinates of the particle, $\hat{T}_a$ denote the $SU(2)$ gauge group generators;

¹E-mail: mardoyan@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

²E-mail: sisakian@jinr.dubna.su

³E-mail: terant@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

$\vec{A}^a = (0, A_\mu^a)$ is the triplet of Yang monopole's gauge potentials; F_{ik}^a is the gauge field of the Yang monopole; σ^a are the Pauli matrices, and τ^a are the 4x4 matrices

$$\tau^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^1 \\ -i\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma^3 \\ i\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

τ^a matrices satisfy to relations

$$[\tau^a, \tau^b] = i\epsilon_{abc}\tau^c, \quad 4\tau_{\mu\lambda}^a \tau_{\lambda\nu}^b = \delta_{ab}\delta_{\mu\nu} + 2i\epsilon_{abc}\tau_{\mu\nu}^c$$

$$\epsilon_{abc}\tau_{\alpha\beta}^b \tau_{\mu\nu}^c = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{\alpha\mu}\tau_{\nu\beta}^a - \delta_{\alpha\nu}\tau_{\mu\beta}^a + \delta_{\beta\nu}\tau_{\mu\alpha}^a - \delta_{\beta\mu}\tau_{\nu\alpha}^a)$$

3 Yang monopole

Recently [3,4], the YCS has been extracted from the eight-dimensional isotropic quantum oscillator with the help of the special transformation $\mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5 \otimes S^3$. Here, we shall use the formula

$$A_\mu^a = \frac{2i}{r(r+x_0)} \tau_{\mu\nu}^a x_\nu$$

obtained in Ref.[4]. It is obvious that each term of the $\vec{A}^a$ -triplet coincides with the gauge potential of the five-dimensional Dirac monopole with a unit topological charge and the line of singularity extended along the nonpositive part of the x_0 -axis. The vectors A_j^a are orthogonal to each other

$$A_j^a A_j^b = \frac{r-x_0}{r^2(r+x_0)} \delta_{ab}$$

and to the vector x_j ($x_j A_j^a = 0$).

By definition,

$$F_{ik}^a = \partial_i A_k^a - \partial_k A_i^a + \epsilon_{abc} A_i^b A_k^c$$

or, in a more explicit form,

$$F_{0\mu}^a = -\frac{2i}{r^3} \tau_{\mu\nu}^a x_\nu = -\frac{r+x_0}{r^2} A_\mu^a$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{r^2} (x_\nu A_\mu^a - x_\mu A_\nu^a - 2i\tau_{\mu\nu}^a)$$

The straightforward computation gives

$$F_{ik}^a F_{ik}^b \hat{T}_a \hat{T}_b = \frac{4}{r^4} \hat{T}^2 \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{T}^2 = \hat{T}_a \hat{T}_a$.

4 Yang SO(5) symmetry

The YCS is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m}\hat{\pi}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2mr^2}\hat{T}^2 - \frac{e^2}{r}$$

where $\hat{\pi}^2 = \hat{\pi}_j\hat{\pi}_j$,

$$\hat{\pi}_j = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \hbar A_j^a \hat{T}_a$$

and

$$[\hat{\pi}_i, x_k] = -i\hbar\delta_{ik}, \quad [\hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_k] = i\hbar^2 F_{ik}^a \hat{T}_a \quad (2)$$

Let us consider the operator

$$\hat{L}_{ik} = \frac{1}{\hbar} (x_i \hat{\pi}_k - x_k \hat{\pi}_i) - r^2 F_{ik}^a \hat{T}_a$$

It is easy to verify that

$$[\hat{L}_{ik}, x_j] = i\delta_{ij}x_k - i\delta_{kj}x_i \quad (3)$$

For the commutator $[\hat{L}_{ik}, \pi_j]$ we have

$$[\hat{L}_{ik}, \hat{\pi}_j] = i\delta_{ij}\hat{\pi}_k - i\delta_{kj}\hat{\pi}_i + \hat{Q}_{ikj}$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_{ikj} = i\hbar (x_i F_{kj}^a - x_k F_{ij}^a) \hat{T}_a + [\hat{\pi}_j, r^2 F_{ik}^a \hat{T}_a]$$

There are four possibilities for the indices i, j, k :

$$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ j \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & \nu & \nu & \nu \\ \alpha & 0 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and, therefore, the direct calculation is required. After some algebra we obtain $\hat{Q}_{ikj} = 0$, and hence

$$[\hat{L}_{ik}, \hat{\pi}_j] = i\delta_{ij}\hat{\pi}_k - i\delta_{kj}\hat{\pi}_i \quad (4)$$

Now the commutation rule for the $SO(5)$ group generators

$$[\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{L}_{mn}] = i\delta_{im}\hat{L}_{jn} - i\delta_{jm}\hat{L}_{in} - i\delta_{in}\hat{L}_{jm} + i\delta_{jn}\hat{L}_{im} \quad (5)$$

can be derived from (3) and (4). Moreover, it follows from (3) and (4) that $\hat{L}_{ik}$ commutes with $\hat{H}$. This $SO(5)$ group was previously proposed by Yang [2] as the dynamical group of symmetry for the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_Y - e^2/r$ including only a monopole-isospin interaction.

5 SO(6) symmetry of YCS

Let us consider the operator

$$\hat{M}_k = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} \left(\hat{\pi}_i \hat{L}_{ik} + \hat{L}_{ik} \hat{\pi}_i + \frac{2me^2}{\hbar} \frac{x_k}{r} \right) \quad (6)$$

by analogy with the Runge–Lenz vector [5]. Long manipulation exercises yield $[\hat{H}, \hat{M}_k] = 0$, which means that $\hat{M}_k$ is the constant of motion. Now, from (3), (4) and (5) one can show

$$[\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{M}_k] = i\delta_{ik} \hat{M}_j - i\delta_{jk} \hat{M}_i$$

More complicated calculation leads to the formula

$$[\hat{M}_i, \hat{M}_k] = -2i\hat{H}\hat{L}_{ik} - \frac{i}{m}x_i x_k F_{mn}^a \hat{T}_a \hat{\pi}_m \hat{\pi}_n - \frac{2\hbar^2}{m} \frac{x_i x_k}{r^4} \hat{T}^2$$

It is easily to verify from (1) and (2) that last two terms cancel each other and, therefore,

$$[\hat{M}_i, \hat{M}_k] = -2i\hat{H}\hat{L}_{ik}$$

This commutator is identical with the corresponding commutator for the Coulomb problem. For $\hat{M}'_i = (-2\hat{H})^{-1/2} \hat{M}_i$ one has

$$[\hat{M}'_i, \hat{M}'_k] = i\hat{L}_{ik}$$

Now, introduce the operators

$$\hat{D}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} \hat{L}_{\mu\nu}, & \text{if } \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ \hat{M}'_\mu, & \text{if } \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4; \nu = 5; \\ -\hat{M}'_\nu, & \text{if } \mu = 5; \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

The components of $\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}$ give an $so(6)$ algebra

$$[\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{D}_{\lambda\rho}] = i\delta_{\mu\lambda} \hat{D}_{\nu\rho} - i\delta_{\nu\lambda} \hat{D}_{\mu\rho} - i\delta_{\mu\rho} \hat{D}_{\nu\lambda} + i\delta_{\nu\rho} \hat{D}_{\mu\lambda}$$

Since $[\hat{H}, \hat{D}_{\mu\nu}] = 0$, one concludes that CYS is provided by the $SO(6)$ group of hidden symmetry.

6 YCS energy spectrum

Having obtained the group of hidden symmetry one can calculate the energy eigenvalues by a pure algebraic method.

It is known from Ref.[6] that the Casimir operators for $SO(6)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{C}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}\hat{D}_{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{C}_3 &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau\lambda}\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}\hat{D}_{\rho\sigma}\hat{D}_{\tau\lambda} \\ \hat{C}_4 &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}\hat{D}_{\nu\rho}\hat{D}_{\rho\tau}\hat{D}_{\tau\mu}\end{aligned}$$

According to [6], the eigenvalues of these operators can be taken as

$$\begin{aligned}C_2 &= \mu_1(\mu_1 + 4) + \mu_2(\mu_2 + 2) + \mu_3^2 \\ C_3 &= 48(\mu_1 + 2)(\mu_2 + 1)\mu_3 \\ C_4 &= \mu_1^2(\mu_1 + 4)^2 + 6\mu_1(\mu_1 + 4) + \mu_2^2(\mu_2 + 2)^2 + \mu_3^4 - 2\mu_3^2\end{aligned}$$

where μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_3 are the positive integer or half-integer numbers and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3$.

The direct and very hard calculations leads to the representation

$$\hat{C}_2 = -\frac{e^4 m}{2\hbar^2 \hat{H}} + 2\hat{T}^2 - 4 \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{C}_3 = 48 \left(-\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2 \hat{H}} \right)^{1/2} \hat{T}^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{C}_4 = \hat{C}_2^2 + 6\hat{C}_2 - 4\hat{C}_2\hat{T}^2 - 12\hat{T}^2 + 6\hat{T}^4 \quad (9)$$

From the last equation we can obtain another expression for the eigenvalue C_4

$$C_4 = [C_2 - 2T(T + 1)]^2 + 6[C_2 - 2T(T + 1)] + 2T^2(T + 1)^2$$

and conclude that

$$C_2 - 2T(T + 1) = \mu_1(\mu_1 + 4) \quad (10)$$

$$\mu_2^2(\mu_2 + 2)^2 + \mu_3^4 - 2\mu_3^2 = 2T^2(T + 1)^2 \quad (11)$$

The energy levels of CYS can be obtained from (7) and (10)

$$\epsilon_N^T = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2(\mu_1 + 2)^2} \quad (12)$$

The substitution of the eigenvalues of $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{T}^2$ in the equation for $\hat{C}_3$ gives one more formula for C_3

$$C_3 = 48(\mu_2 + 2)T(T + 1)$$

Now we have two expressions for C_3 and the comparison leads to the relation

$$T(T+1) = (\mu_2 + 2)\mu_3 \quad (13)$$

Comparing this with (11), we have the equation

$$(\mu_2^2 - \mu_3^2) [(\mu_2 + 2)^2 - \mu_3^2] = 0$$

Since $\mu_3 \leq \mu_2$, one concludes that $\mu_3 = \mu_2$. Then, from (13) it follows that $\mu_2 = T$, which means that μ_1 in (12) takes only values $\mu_1 = T, T+1, T+2, \dots$

The quantum number T fixes the type of the CYS: the bosonic type for $T = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the fermionic type for $T = 1/2, 3/2, \dots$. The bosonic type of CYS with $T = 0$ is the five-dimensional Coulomb system.

Acknowledgements.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge G. Pogosyan for helpful comments.

References

- [1] P.A.M. Dirac. Proc. Roy. Soc., **A133**, (1931), 60.
- [2] C.N. Yang. J.Math.Phys., **19**, (1978), 320.
- [3] T. Iwai, T. Sunako. J.Geom.Phys., **20**, (1996), 250.
- [4] L.G. Mardoyan, A.N. Sissakian, V.M. Ter-Antonyan.
Oscillator as a Hidden Non-Abelian Monopole.
Preprint JINR E2-96-24, Dubna, 1996. hep-th/9601093.
- [5] G. Györgi, J. Revai. JETP, **48**, (1965), 1445.
- [6] A.M. Perelomov, V.S. Popov. JETP Lett., **2**, (1965), 34.